CENTRE FOR ECONOMIC POLICY RESEARCH

CEPR PRESS

COVID ECONOMICS VETTED AND REAL-TIME PAPERS

ECONOMIC EPIDEMIOLOGY: A REVIEW

David McAdams

INDIVIDUALISM

Bo Bian, Jingjing Li, Ting Xu and Natasha Z. Foutz

ENTREPRENEUR DEBT AVERSION

Mikael Paaso, Vesa Pursiainen and Sami Torstila

SUPPLY CHAIN DISRUPTION

Matthias Meier and Eugenio Pinto

PANDEMICS, POVERTY, AND SOCIAL COHESION

Remi Jedwab, Amjad M. Khan, Richard Damania, Jason Russ and Esha D. Zaver

ISSUE 48 10 SEPTEMBER 2020

FORECASTING THE SHOCK Felipe Meza

IS WHO TRUSTED?

Nirosha Elsem Varghese, Iryna Sabat, Sebastian Neuman-Böhme, Jonas Schreyögg, Tom Stargardt, Aleksandra Torbica, Job van Exel, Pedro Pita Barros and Werner Brouwer

ECONOMISTS: FROM VILLAINS TO HEROES? Diane Coyle

Risk communication during COVID-19: A descriptive study on familiarity with, adherence to and trust in the WHO preventive measures

Nirosha Elsem Varghese,¹ Iryna Sabat,² Sebastian Neuman-Böhme,³ Jonas Schreyögg, Tom Stargardt,⁴ Aleksandra Torbica,⁵ Job van Exel,⁶ Pedro Pita Barros⁷ and Werner Brouwer⁸

Date submitted: 4 September 2020; Date accepted: 6 September 2020

Background: Risk communication is a key component of public health interventions during an outbreak. As the coronavirus pandemic unfolded in late 2019, the World Health Organization (WHO) was at the forefront in the development of risk communication strategies. The WHO introduced a range of activities with the purpose of enabling the public to avail verified and timely information on COVID-19 prevention behaviors. Given the various WHO activities to protect the public health during COVID-19, it is important to investigate the extent of familiarity and uptake of the WHO recommendations among the public so far during the pandemic.

Methods: To do this, we conducted a large-scale Pan-European survey covering around 7500 individuals that are representative of populations from seven European countries, collected online during April 2-April 15, 2020. We use descriptive statistics including proportions and correlations and graphical representations such as bar charts to analyze and display the data.

2 Nova School of Business and Economics.

- Erasmus School of Health Policy & Management, Erasmus University
 Hamburg Center for Health Economics, University of Hamburg.
- 5 Centre for Research on Health and Social Care Management, CERGAS, Bocconi University.
- 6 Erasmus School of Health Policy & Management, Erasmus University Rotterdam.
- Nova School of Business and Economics. 7

Copyright: Nirosha Elsem Varghese, Iryna Sabat, Sebastian Neuman-Böhme, Jonas Schreyögg, Tom Stargardt, Aleksandra Torbica, Job van Exel, Pedro Pita Barros, Werner Brouwer

¹ Centre for Research on Health and Social Care Management, CERGAS, Bocconi University.

Erasmus School of Health Policy & Management, Erasmus University Rotterdam.

Erasmus School of Health Policy & Management, Erasmus University Rotterdam. 8

Results: Our findings suggest that information from the WHO in the context of COVID-19 is well trusted and acted upon by the public. Overall familiarity and adherence were quite high in most countries. Adherence was higher for social distancing recommendations compared to hygiene measures. Familiarity and adherence were higher among older, female, and highly educated respondents. However, country level heterogeneities were observed in the level of trust in information from the WHO, with countries severely affected by the pandemic reporting lower levels of trust.

Conclusion: Our findings call for efforts from health authorities to get regular feedback from the public on their familiarity and compliance with recommendations for preventive measures at all stages of the pandemic, to further develop and adapt risk communication as the pandemic evolves.

Copyright: NAMES

INTRODUCTION

Risk communication is key to improving familiarity with and adherence to preventive measures, in normal times but also particularly during health emergencies. Failure to communicate the right message effectively can result in loss of trust, damage to the economy and loss of lives (1). For risk communication to be effective, risk messages have to be shared with the public in an openly and timely manner, so as to reduce the knowledge gap and to convince the public to adjust their behavior during a crisis (2). In addition to disseminating recommendations that are easy for the public to understand and comply with, trust in the source of the message is important for an effective risk communication (1, 3).

The World Health Organization (WHO) has been in the frontline in its operations to contain and mitigate the spread of the COVID-19 pandemic. The WHO is a key player in disseminating up to date information and recommendations on COVID-19 preventive behaviors to the public (4). With a physical presence in 149 countries, these recommendations are also adapted to national and local considerations, thereby setting the WHO protocol as a foundation for further containment strategies at various levels of government (5, 6).

As the coronavirus pandemic unfolded in late 2019, the WHO was quick to realize the need for a tailored risk communication strategy. The WHO Information Network for Epidemics (or EPI-WIN) was introduced when COVID-19 was declared a public emergency of international concern on 30th January 2020 (7). EPI-WIN provides customized information and guidance to specific target groups in addition to fighting the ,,infodemic" (7). For example, this involved increasing the public awareness on preventive measures against COVID-19 through easy to understand behavioral messages using infographics and videos on the WHO website. EPI-WIN also guides national governments in risk communication and community engagement according to the transmission scenario of each country with the purpose of developing, implementing, and monitoring a communication plan that can help protect the public health during the health crisis (8). Another such WHO and national government collaboration in risk communication is the Global Outbreak Alert and Response Network (GOARN), a network of

250 technical institutes across the globe that has been actively involved in co-creating and co-implementing risk communication messages so as to adapt to the local context (9).

Additionally, the WHO undertook a range of other innovative steps to improve risk communication during this pandemic. They teamed up with social media companies and Google to ensure that any search queries related to COVID-19 directs the user to the WHO pages (10). The WHO introduced an online training course on COVID-19 and collaborated with celebrities on the safe hands challenge to demonstrate hand hygiene on social media (11, 12). Given all the actions undertaken by the WHO to promote public awareness on COVID-19, it seems important to investigate the familiarity of the public with the WHO recommended preventive measures, whether familiarity translates into adherence to these measures, and the role of trust in the information in this relationship.

METHODS

We use individual level data covering 7000 respondents representative of the adult population in seven European countries: Denmark, France, Germany, Italy, Portugal, the Netherlands, and the UK. The online survey was conducted during April 2-15, 2020 by the market research company Dynata. The questionnaire was initially developed in English by the authors of the study and was then translated and adapted to country specific context by native speakers. In each country, data was collected from 1000 respondents'' representative of the national population in terms of region, age, gender, and education. Representativeness of the sample was achieved by using quotas for the demographic characteristics in each country based on the national census statistics. As part of a larger survey, respondents were asked about their familiarity with the preventive measures recommended by the WHO, their adherence to these measures, and their trust in the information from the WHO.

Summary statistics including percentages and Spearman's rank correlations were used to analyze the data. The statistical significance for difference in proportions between groups was tested using Chi-squared test. Additionally, we use graphical representations and simple ranking for summarizing results. Statistical analyses were performed on STATA 15 (STATA Corp, College Station, TX, US).

RESULTS

Familiarity with the WHO recommendations

In the wake of the COVID-19 crisis, the WHO put forward six basic preventive measures to help contain and mitigate the spread of coronavirus. The recommendations were first released on January 10, 2020 on the WHO website, around 11 weeks prior to the release of our survey (7). The recommendations included timely and easy-to-understand measures such as regularly washing hands with soap for at least 20 seconds, covering nose and mouth while coughing or sneezing, keeping a social distance of at least 1 meter, avoid shaking hands, hugging or kissing when greeting others, using alcohol-based hand rub and avoid touching nose, eyes and mouth. In our survey, respondents were shown the graphic presentation of the six measures that was used for communication in their country and asked to rate their familiarity with the measures on a scale from "not at all familiar" (1) to "very familiar" (5). Respondents reporting a score of 4 (moderately familiar) or 5 (very familiar) are classified as being familiar with the WHO recommendations.



Figure 1: Familiarity with the WHO recommendations, by country

On average 86.3% of the respondents reported being familiar with the WHO recommended measures. Looking at country level variations (Figure 1), we see that the proportion of respondents who reported being familiar with the recommendations was the highest in Portugal (95.2%) and the lowest in the United Kingdom (81.4%; p<0.001).

The other countries in the sample reveal similar levels of familiarity (84-87%) with the WHO recommendations. It is noteworthy that in the Lombardy region familiarity was also very high (91.0%), but especially also that the proportion of the population ,not at all familiar'' (0.4%) or only ,slightly familiar'' (0.6%) was the lowest.

Across countries, we find a higher proportion of female (88.4% for females vs. 84.0% for males; p<0.001), older (89.5% for 65+ vs. 78.1 for 18-24 yo; p<0.001) and highly educated (87.5% for high/medium vs. 83.6% for low; p<0.001) respondents reporting familiarity with the WHO recommendations. The same patterns are observed within each country as well. Finally, it should be noted that respondents may overstate their familiarity resulting in self-reporting bias.

Adherence to WHO recommendations on preventive behavior

In our study, we asked respondents to rate their adherence to the six preventive measures over the past four weeks using four levels: no; yes, a bit; yes, quite strongly; yes, fully. We consider respondents to adhere to the recommendations if they reported ,yes, quite strongly" or ,yes, fully" to each of the six recommendations. Overall, we see that 92.1% of the respondents reported to have adopted the WHO recommendations. Avoiding physical contact by not shaking hands, kissing or hugging when meeting others (93.6%) and keeping a social distance of 1 meter (91.5%) had an overall higher adherence rate, whereas using an alcohol-based hand rub (67.5%) and avoiding touching nose, eyes and mouth (62.4%) had the lowest rates of adherence (Figure 2).



Figures 2a-2h: Adherence to WHO recommendations, by country

100

Figure 2a: Germany

40

0

20

80

60

Figure 2b: The United Kingdom



Note: R1: Regularly wash my hands with soap for at least 20 seconds, R2: Cover my nose and mouth when coughing or sneezing, R3: Keep a distance of at least 1 meter from other people, R4: Avoid shaking hands, hugging or kissing when greeting others, R5: Use alcohol-based hand rub' and R6: Avoid touching my nose, eves and mouth.

Comparing countries, Portugal and Italy perform best in adhering to all the WHO recommendations whereas France and Denmark perform the worst (Table 1). The difference between the top and worst adhering countries for each WHO recommendation is statistically significant (p<0.001).

Rank	WHO recommendations	Top adherers	Worst adherers
1	Avoid shaking hands, hugging or kissing when greeting others.	Portugal, Italy	Denmark
2	Keep a distance of at least 1 meter from other people.	Portugal, Italy	France
3	Cover my nose and mouth when coughing or sneezing.	Portugal	France
4	Regularly wash my hands with soap for at least 20 seconds.	Portugal	Denmark
5	Use alcohol-based hand rub.	Portugal	Germany
6	Avoid touching my nose, eyes and mouth.	Portugal, Italy	Denmark

Table 1: Rank of WHO recommendations in the order of their relative adherence.

Finally, the proportion reporting adherence is higher among female (94.0% for females vs. 90.0% for males; p<0.001) and older (95.1% for 65+ vs. 87.5 for 18-24 yo; p<0.001). Respondents reporting to ,adhere fully" are higher among those with high/medium level of education (51.0%) compared to low (46.5%; p<0.001) and also among those who have family members that are vulnerable, such as elderly and those with additional comorbidities (49.7%), compared to those who indicate they do not have vulnerable family members (45.6%, p = 0.001). Similar results are observed within countries except for levels of education which does not follow a consistent pattern in all countries.

Perception of adherence to the WHO recommendations by others

We also asked respondents if, according to them, others in the community adhered to the six WHO recommendations over the past four weeks. Overall, the proportion of respondents who report that others adhere to the WHO recommendations is 81.3%, which is considerably lower than their own adherence (92.2%). This difference is highest in the UK (19% points difference; p<0.001) and the lowest in the Netherlands (3%; p=0.022) and in France (1%; p<0.001). Also, it should be noted that respondents could be overstating their own

Covid Economics 48, 10 September 2020: 226-241

adherence to avoid judgement whereas adherence estimates of others could be a truer estimate of their own actual adherence.

Trust in information from the WHO

Furthermore, we asked respondents to rate their level of trust in information from the WHO in the context of COVID-19 on a scale "no trust at all" (1) to "trust very much" (5). Respondents reporting a score of 4 or 5 are classified as having trust in the information from the WHO, and those with a score of 1 or 2 as having no trust in this information. We find that on average 59.8% of the respondents from the countries included in this study trust the information on COVID-19 from the WHO, while 15.5% do not trust this information.

 Table 2: Relative ranking of countries according to the proportion of respondents who trust and distrust information from the WHO

Country	Trust (%)	Rank
Denmark	64.7	1
Portugal	64.5	2
UK	61.6	3
Italy	60.9	4
Netherlands	59.7	5
Germany	56.8	6
France	49.9	7
Country	Distrust (%)	Rank
France	22.6	1
Italy	16.4	2
Germany	15.7	3
UK	14.1	4
Netherlands	14.0	5
Portugal	13.0	6
Denmark	12.7	7

Table 2 shows the proportion of respondents in each country reporting trust or no trust in the information from the WHO and the relative ranking among the countries in terms of trust. Marked differences in trust is observed between the countries. In particular, we find that trust is highest in Denmark and Netherlands and the lowest in France with the differences between countries being statistically significant (p<0.001). Similarly, Denmark scores

the lowest on distrust whereas France scores the highest followed by Italy, two countries that were the most impacted by the COVID-19 (p<0.001).

Do Familiarity and Trust Breed Adherence?

We present evidence suggesting that familiarity and trust could be driving factors for adherence. First, looking at the piecewise relationship between familiarity and adherence, we find that overall familiarity with the six WHO recommendations is significantly correlated with adherence to these recommendations (Table 3), especially for hygiene measures (R1, R2) and avoiding physical contact (R3, R4).

Table 3: Correlations between level of familiarity and level of adherence

Adhananaa	Familiarity							
Aunerence	Germany	United Kingdom	Denmark	Netherlands	France	Portugal	Italy	Overall
R1	0.303*	0.254*	0.255*	0.245*	0.239*	0.142*	0.218*	0.247*
R2	0.314*	0.251*	0.230*	0.258*	0.219*	0.195*	0.223*	0.246*
R3	0.275*	0.221*	0.260*	0.236*	0.308*	0.179*	0.243*	0.250*
R4	0.375*	0.276*	0.258*	0.313*	0.318*	0.261*	0.306*	0.305*
R5	0.009	0.051	0.141*	0.048	0.091*	0.105*	0.139*	0.096*
R6	0.087*	0.097*	0.110*	0.091*	0.085*	0.102*	0.093*	0.120*

Note: R1-R6 corresponds to the six recommendations released by the world health organization. They are as follows. R1: Regularly wash my hands with soap for at least 20 seconds, R2: Cover my nose and mouth when coughing or sneezing, R3: Keep a distance of at least 1 meter from other people, R4: Avoid shaking hands, hugging or kissing when greeting others, R5: Use alcohol-based hand rub' and R6: Avoid touching my nose, eyes and mouth. Spearman rank correlation test is used for this analysis. *, and ** denote significance at 1 and 5 percent levels respectively.

Trust could also be a facilitator for adherence (14). In our study, we see that distrust was lower among those who adhered (14.5%) compared to those who did not adhere to the WHO recommendations (29.3%). Overall, at first sight this would mean familiarity implies adherence and trust is a catalyst for this relationship.

However, the relationship between familiarity, adherence and trust is not so direct. Factors such as the severity of the COVID-19 crisis and other perceived worries could be influencing each of these factors independently and together. For instance, respondents from Italy and Portugal reported the highest levels of familiarity and adherence, but at the same time showed diverging profiles on case prevalence (15) and trust in WHO information during the period of our study. In Italy, adherence to physical distancing recommendations is as high as in

Portugal, although Italy reports lower trust compared to Portugal. That this high adherence in Italy is narrowed to only physical distancing measures could be attributed to the necessity of adherence given the severity of the pandemic. However, Portugal still tops adherence in all measures (including hygiene), which could be facilitated by the high levels of trust in information. This is suggestive of the ability of the WHO to act without any coercion when there are high levels of trust, especially when adherence corresponds to recommendations that are difficult to enforce socially or legally such as hand hygiene.

DISCUSSION

The ongoing threat to global health from COVID-19 poses critical challenges to governments, medical communities, health organizations, businesses and the public in responding to the evolving pandemic. With limited knowledge and an abundance of misinformation on the disease, governments and health organizations need to be meticulous in disseminating up to date and evidence-based information to the public. The guidelines and recommended preventive behaviors as put forward by WHO and other national level public health agencies is of immense importance given the unavailability of vaccine for COVID-19. The WHO mainly recommends hygiene and physical contact precautions to the public given that coronavirus is mainly transmitted through droplets and aerosols. This highlights the importance of non-pharmaceutical interventions such as social distancing, use of protective equipment such as face masks and other hygiene behaviors in containing the coronavirus (16). Given that the pandemic is still ongoing, and yet to reach the peak in many countries, we used data from a pan European survey collected in April 2020 to evaluate the efficiency and effectiveness of the risk communication strategies put in place by the WHO so far during the pandemic. Following are some insights and attention points on risk communication as learned from our findings.

First, our survey results suggest that overall familiarity and adherence with the recommendations is quite high in most countries in Europe. This indicates both the effectiveness of the WHO risk communication strategy and the interest among the public to seek and follow better practices. Countries reporting high levels of familiarity

(Portugal and Italy) were also the top adherers. Similarly, countries reporting lower levels of familiarity (UK, Netherlands and Germany) performed worse on adherence. Although there could be other factors influencing this relationship, our results suggest that increasing familiarity with preventive measures could lead to higher levels of adherence among the public, and hence is an effective way to help contain and mitigate the spread of infectious diseases.

Second, we observe considerable heterogeneity in adherence to the different recommendations. Overall, people complied better with avoiding physical contact, but less with hand hygiene and avoiding touching eyes, nose or mouth. Both sets of recommendations involve behavioral modifications with the exception that during the first stage of the pandemic, social distancing was legally and socially enforced, which could be one explanation for the higher adherence rates. Literature also shows that non-adherence to be high especially when recommendations involve behavioral modifications (17). Given that exit strategies from lockdown are currently being unfolded in most countries, strict regulations regarding social distancing may be relaxed. However, at the same time the WHO warns of a potential second wave of coronavirus transmission following relaxed restrictions (18), highlighting the need to make sure that people keep up with social distancing measures even when not legally enforced.

Although social distancing measures has been mostly recommended given the nature of coronavirus transmission, hand washing is also important given that there could be indirect transmission via infected surfaces (16). However, hand washing has a lower adherence rate globally given the complex interaction of many behavioral aspects that drives compliance to hand hygiene (19). Hence, there is an increased need to put higher emphasis on improving adherence to hand hygiene and, most importantly, designing policies to ensure that adherence to social distancing does not fade off without legal enforcement over time to reduce the emergence of further waves of the pandemic.

Third, our analysis suggests evidence for heterogeneities in adherence based on socio-demographic characteristics of the respondents. Particularly, we find older, female, and higher educated respondents to report higher levels of familiarity and adherence. Additionally, we also find those respondents with vulnerable household members to

have higher levels of adherence. Therefore, steps should be taken to increase awareness among the groups that are less likely to be familiar with or adhere to the preventive measures, in particular the young, males, less educated and households with non-vulnerable family members, since they also play a role in transmitting the virus.

Older people, who are more vulnerable to COVID-19, report higher levels of familiarity and adherence. Possibly they seek more information, or risk communication has been tailored to them better. But, it is equally important to increase awareness among younger people about the risks of not adhering to recommendations, because even if they themselves are less vulnerable, as potential carriers of the virus they may infect others who are. Similarly, households that do not have a vulnerable family member might be less worried about getting infected and hence show poor adherence. Literature shows higher levels of adherence among women in general, attributing this to several factors including early cognitive maturation, capacity for self-care and the stronger perceived need to comply to social expectations (20). Higher educated respondents might have higher levels of health literacy, which is required to critically assess the information provided in relation to their behaviors (21). Thus, we might conclude that risk messages may not fit to all groups alike and, therefore, need to be customized to the specific risks and concerns in that group.

Fourth, trust in information from the WHO could influence adherence to its recommendations. Trust is an overlooked aspect in crisis management (22). Public health organizations need to be more transparent and receptive in their communication to gain the trust of citizens. Most importantly, if the severity of the pandemic in a country is high, this could imply that trust levels are already low, and people are more worried. Hence strategies to improve both adherence and trust should take into consideration the severity of the pandemic in the country and the level of worries among the population. Finally, low trust in authoritative bodies could also be associated with low interpersonal trust (perception of the adherence of others to WHO recommendations) in the society as a whole (23), resulting in covid induced worries, social fear or acts of self-interest such as panic buying and stock piling, which makes crisis management more difficult. Having a perception that others in the community do not adhere to the WHO recommendations could also reduce one's own level of adherence (24). Thus, during these

hard times, risk communication should not miss out on messages that could improve the public's trust in their community members and organizations that provide credible information.

CONCLUSION

Overall, we find that information from WHO in the context of COVID-19 is well trusted and acted upon by the public. However, our results suggest the need to strengthen efforts to reach the less vulnerable parts of the population in information campaigns, and to take the worries of the public into account in the design and dissemination of risk communication strategies. Furthermore, our findings call for efforts to get regular feedback from the public on their familiarity with the most recent recommendations and their support for policy measures that increase compliance with these recommendations. As both the pandemic and the recommendations evolve, risk communication needs to be tailored to the different groups in society in order to be more effective.

Availability of data and materials: The dataset used during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

List of Abbreviations

WHO: World Health Organization